



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOx 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,698	01/19/2005	Volker Klaus Null	TS9505US	1156
7590 08/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Jennifer D Adamson			SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE	
Shell Oil Company Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 2463			1714	
Houston, TX 77252-2463			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/521,698	NULL, VOLKER KLAUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kriellion A. Sanders	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/19/05.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 8 is indefinite in that there is no basis of measuring the required percentages.

Furthermore the claim lacks antecedent basis for the particulars of the conversion step, since no conversion step is mentioned in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakahama et al., US Patent No. 5,242,971 and further in view of Hamner, deceased et al., US Patent No. 4,943,672.

Applicant's invention pertains to a composition comprising

- A) An ethylene-propylene-diene rubber component
- B) A process oil
- C) An optional olefin, particularly polypropylene

The process oil of the invention is formed by a method utilizing hydrocracking/hydroisomerizing.

Nakahama et al discloses ethylene-propylene-diene rubbers, elastomer compositions and vulcanized rubbers thereof. The rubbers also include a softener component. Examples of the softeners include petroleum softeners such as *process oil*, lubricating oil, paraffin, liquid

Art Unit: 1714

paraffin, petroleum asphalt and Vaseline; coal tar softeners such as coal tar and coal tar pitch; fatty oil softeners such as castor oil, linseed oil, colza oil and coconut oil; tall oil; factice; wax such as beeswax, carnauba wax and lanolin; fatty acids and salts thereof such as ricinoleic acid, palmitic acid, barium stearate, calcium stearate and zinc laurate; and synthetic high-molecular materials such as petroleum resin, atactic *polypropylene* and coumarone-indene resin. Among them, petroleum softeners are preferred with *process oil* being particularly preferred. See col. 3, line 19 through col. 8, line 16.

Hamner et al discloses a method for converting Fischer-Tropsch wax to a lubricating oil having a high viscosity index and a low pour point by first hydrotreating the wax under relatively severe conditions and thereafter hydroisomerizing the hydrotreated wax in the presence of hydrogen on a particular fluorided Group VIII metal-on-alumina catalyst. This is followed by fractionating of the effluent from the previous step to produce a lubricating oil fraction boiling above about 640 degree. F. at atmospheric pressure; and then dewaxing the lubricating oil fraction from this step to produce a dewaxed lubricating oil having a viscosity index of at least 130 and a pour point less than about 0 degree. F. The hydroisomerate is then dewaxed to produce a premium lubricating oil base stock. The dewaxing step is accomplished by techniques which permit the recovery of unconverted wax. Solvent dewaxing is utilized and employs typical dewaxing solvents. Patentee is silent as to specific physical properties of the resulting oils, such as flash point, UV adsorption, evaporation loss and kinetic viscosity. However, because the process of Hamner et al is essentially the same as applicants, it is believed that the resulting oils of Hamner et al would also be essentially the same as applicant's. Hamner et al is

Art Unit: 1714

thought to inherently disclose the process oils of applicant's invention. See col. 2, line 59 through col. 3, line 18.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the atactic polypropylene and process oils suggested by Nakahama et al. into the compositions of Nakahama et al. to derive improved co-vulcanizability with conjugated diene rubbers, excellent weather resistance, ozone resistance and thermal aging resistance without detriment to mechanical characteristics, wear resistance and dynamic fatigue resistance, absent a clear showing of unexpected results attributable to the specific process oil. Likewise, it would have been particularly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select and incorporate the conventional process oils of Hamner et al into the rubber compositions of Nakahama et al., as a suitable process oil, absent a clear showing of unexpected results attributable to the use of the alcohol components.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,698 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

ks